

RESEARCHES REGARDING THE INFLUENCE OF FORERUNNER PLANT AND FERTILIZATION LEVEL IN WINTER WHEAT ON THE PHYTO MASS REMAINS (ROOTS AND STUBBLE FIELD) AND THE DEPOSITS OF WEED SEEDS REMAINED IN THE GROUND

Gheorghe-Emil BANDICI*, Cristian-Felix BLIDAR**, Adriana PETRUȘ-VANCEA**, Ileana ARDELEAN*

* University of Oradea, Faculty of Environment Protection, Department of Agricultural, Oradea, Romania

** University of Oradea, Faculty of Science, Department of Biology, Oradea, Romania

Corresponding author: Gheorghe-Emil Bandici, University of Oradea, Faculty of Environment Protection, Department of Agricultural, 26 Magheru Str., 410048 Oradea, Romania, tel.: 004059412550, fax: 0040259416274, e-mail: gbandici@yahoo.com

Abstract. An important problem refers to soil weeds seed bank with special reference to brown luvisc soils and relationship between vegetal remains (roots + stub) and agrofund – crop rotation plant. A great number of seeds can be found in first 20 centimeters of soil (plowing layer). This fact causes a high weeds density, which has a negative effect on the cultivated plants leading to partial or total crop loss.

Keywords: crop rotation, phytomass, dry weigh, root, stub, accumulation, weed seeds.

INTRODUCTION

The natural law fertilisation, the acid reaction and internal slow drainage are the main characteristics of brown soils.

If we add to these the low degree of structure (27 - 36 %), the temporary excess of humidity and the big deposits of weed seeds in the soil, we get an almost complete picture of the restrictive production factors on brown soils in our country.

Related to these above, the specialised literature [3, 4, 5] underline the fact that biochemical processes which take place in the non-structured and slightly aired soils are too lean and they could lead to the increase of the content of some substances (fulvic acids) which affect the clay [3, 5]. To prevent these phenomena from happening, the soils must be aired when the C / N ratio, accessible, reaches the normal values (40 – 70), the microbial activity is well stimulated and has favourable effects upon all the chemical transformations in the soil and finally increases the fertility degree of soils, like the brown ones.

These are some aspects about the improvement of the supplying process of the soil with nutritional elements which are necessary for the growth and development of the cultivated plants.

Another important problem is the one referring to the general deposits of weed seeds remained in the ground and especially the brown soils. A great number of weed seeds up to the depth of the arable layer (0 - 20 cm), leads implicitly to a high degree of weed growing which can determine a series of negative effects upon plants and can partially or totally compromise the culture [1].

Brown luvisc soils are characterized by acid reaction, low internal drainage, low structuring level (27-36%), temporary water excess and high content weed seed bank. All these factors are considered to be limited in Romania [1, 2].

An important problem refers to soil weeds seed bank with special reference to brown luvisc soils. A great number of seeds can be found in first 20 centimeters of soil (plowing layer). This fact causes a high weeds density which have a negative effect on the cultivated plants leading to partial or total crop loss [6, 7].

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A multifactorial experiment (subdivided plats) was set up in the period 2004-2005 at Agricultural Research and Development Center (A.R.D.C) Oradea (Romania), on soils characterized by temporary excess of humidity as brown luvisc soils are having in view the realization of total phytomass as function of phenophase, forerunner plant and fertilization level.

The experimental design was polyfactorial in subdivides stands using as factors interaction: forerunner plant, fertilization level and phenophase. As biological material, the Delia race of wheat was employed.

Total phytomass and separately every plants part were weighted in the laboratory. The results were analyzed with ANOVA (analysis of variance), the phytomass being expressed as dry weight/10 plants.

RESULTS

The influence of crop rotation plant, fertilization level on the amount of organic matter (stub + roots) accumulated in soil in winter wheat culture is presented in Table 1.

The influence of crop rotation plant and fertilization level a weed seed bank in soil, in wheat cultivated on brown luvisc soils, 0-20 cm deep, is presented in the following table (Table 2):

In the next table, we present the weed seeds numbers/m² and floristic composition in monocotyledons and dicotyledons (Table 3):

Table 1. The influence of crop rotation plant and fertilizers level on vegetal remains accumulation in soil (stubble + roots) in wheat cultivated on brown luvic soils, Oradea (Romania) 2005

| Factor under study | Phytomass in d.w. | | | | Diff. \pm t/ha |
|---|-------------------|--------------|------------|-------|---------------------|
| | Root t/ha | Stub t/ha | Total d.w. | | |
| | | | t/ha | % | |
| a. Crop rotation plant | | | | | |
| Wheat – monoculture (Mt) | 0.4 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 100 | N/A |
| Corn (G - P) | 0.3 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 115.8 | + 0.3 |
| Pea (M - G - P) | 0.3 | 2.6 | 2.9 | 152.6 | + 1.0 |
| Average (%) | 14.3 % | 85.7% | 100 % | N/A | N/A |
| b. Fertilization level | | | | | |
| N ₀ P ₀ (Mt) | 0.4 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 100 | N/A |
| N ₁₂₀ P ₈₀ | 0.3 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 192.8 | + 1.3 |
| N ₁₀₀ P ₈₀ + 10 t/ha manure | 0.3 | 2.5 | 2.8 | 200.0 | + 1.4 |
| Media (%) | 14.5 % | 85.5 % | 100 % | N/A | N/A |

Table 2. The influence of crop rotation plant and fertilization level a weed seed bank in soil, in wheat cultivated on brown luvic soils, 0-20 cm deep, Oradea (Romania) 2005

| Observed factor | Weed seeds/m ² | | | | Diff.± nr./m ² |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------|------------------------------|
| | Monocoty | Dicoty | Total weeds | | |
| | nr./m ² | nr./m ² | nr./m ² | % | |
| a. Crop rotation plant | | | | | |
| Wheat – monoculture (Mt) | 13 787 | 18 935 | 32 722 | 100 | N/A |
| Corn (G - P) | 11 993 | 17 983 | 29 976 | 91.6 | - 2 746 |
| Pea (M - G - P) | 18 120 | 21 521 | 39 642 | 121.1 | + 6 920 |
| Average (%) | 42.9 | 57.1 | 100 | N/A | N/A |
| b. Fertilization level | | | | | |
| N0P0 (Mt) | 5 137 | 16 905 | 22 042 | 100 | N/A |
| N120P80 | 17 363 | 20 207 | 37 570 | 170.4 | + 15 528 |
| N100P80 + 10 t/ha manure | 17 450 | 25 278 | 42 728 | 193.8 | + 20 686 |
| Media (%) | 39.0 | 61.0 | 100 | N/A | N/A |

Table 3. The flower-dwelling composition of monocotyledons and dicotyledons weed species according to the crop rotation under study, Oradea (Romania) 2005

| Dominant weed species | Current rotation | | |
|-------------------------------|---|---|---|
| | Monoculture | W - M rotation | P - W - M rotation |
| Monocotyledons | Wind grass (<i>Apera spica-venti</i>) | Wind grass (<i>Apera spica-venti</i>) | Wind grass (<i>Apera spica-venti</i>) |
| | Wild chamomile (<i>Matricaria inodora</i>) | Wild chamomile (<i>Matricaria inodora</i>) | Orache (<i>Chenopodium album</i>) |
| Dicotyledons | Wild rape (<i>Raphanus raphanistrum</i>) | Buckwheat (<i>Polygonum convolvulus</i>) | Wild rape (<i>Raphanus raphanistrum</i>) |
| | Buckwheat (<i>Polygonum convolvulus</i>) | Chickweed (<i>Stellaria media</i>) | Buckwheat (<i>Polygonum convolvulus</i>) |
| | Larkspur (<i>Delphinium consolida</i>) | Orache (<i>Chenopodium album</i>) | Pelamid (<i>Cirsium arvense</i>) |
| | Red grass (<i>Polygonum persicaria</i>) | N/A | Bindweed (<i>Convolvulus arvensis</i>) |
| | Orache (<i>Chenopodium album</i>) | N/A | N/A |
| | Chickweed (<i>Stellaria media</i>) | N/A | N/A |
| No. of weeds / m ² | 177 (100 %) | 37 (20.9 %) | 23 (13.0 %) |

DISCUSSIONS

Data from Table1 show that in wheat monoculture, with 1.9 t/ha total dry weight accumulated in soil, the cultivation of wheat after corn or pea in 2 yr., 3 yr. respectively crop rotation, determined an increment of organic matter accumulated in soil with 15.8-52.6%. Highest dry matter quantity was found in wheat cultivated in combination with a crop rotation plant as pea of 2.9 t/ha, at values with 1 t/ha higher as compared to monoculture and even to short rotation (W-C) with 0.3 t/ha.

Highest contribution to phytomass accumulation in soil had wheat stub with 85.7% of total amount as compared to root lower contribution of 14.3%.

This quantity of dry weight as roots contribution (14.3%) not negligible if taking into account the more rapid decomposition in soil of roots as compared to stub which contains more cellulose and decomposes slower, in soil under the attack of decomposing microorganisms.

In what concerns fertilization level, data from Table 1, show that mineral or mixed fertilization doubles the amount of total dry weight accumulated in soil (2.7 and 2.8 t/ha, respectively) as compared to the unfertilized

alternative of 1.4 t/ha. In this case, stub realizes a greater amount of total phytomass in d.w. (85.5%) as compared to roots' contribution of only 14.5%.

In what concerns weeds seed bank in soil, in wheat cultivated on brown luvisols, in corroboration with crop rotation plant, fertilization level and their interaction, one can conclude (based on data from Table 2).

As a succession of the analysis that we made and distinguished in the Table 2, we can specify the following:

- Weeds' seed numbers in soil reached highest values in crop rotation when wheat was cultivated after pea, 39.642 seeds/m², followed by wheat monoculture with 32.722 seeds/m².
- Lowest number of weed seeds/m² was found in wheat cultivated after corn, of 29.976 seeds/m². The explanation lies in the nature of corn cultivation which implies tillage that destroys an important amount of weeds. This reduces infestation source of soil with weed seeds as compared to wheat cultivated after pea that do not need tillage.

Accordingly, weed seeds source is greater, seed bank provision, also, in plots where wheat was cultivated after pea.

It is worth to remark that numbers in weeds of dicotyledons are greater as compared to weed species of monocotyledons (Table 2) regardless to crop rotation plant employed (dicotyledons - 57.1%, monocotyledons - 42.9%).

Table 2 shows a strong influence manifested in case of created agrofund on weed seeds bank from soil. As compared to unfertilized alternative (22.042 weed seeds/m²), mineral fertilization and mixed fertilization determined the raise of weed seeds in soil to 37.570 and 42.728 weed seeds/m², respectively. In the case of fertilization level, weed seeds proportion is greater for dicotyledons (61%) both in fertilized or fertilized alternatives.

The data that we presented in Table 3, therefore the realized experiments, allows us to sustain the following affirmations:

- weeds/m² numbers as determined before harvest were greatest in wheat monoculture, 177 weeds per surface unit. These numbers decreased significantly in crop rotations as follows: 37 in 2 yr. crop rotations and 23 weeds/m² in 3 yr. crop rotations which represents (in %) 13 and 20.9% of total number of weeds identified in wheat monoculture (177 weeds/m²).
- the dominant weed species among monocotyledons was *Apera spica-venti* and among dicotyledons, *Raphanus raphanistrum*, *Chenopodium album* and *Matricaria inodora*, regardless to crop rotation. There was not reported any distribution pattern of weed species depending on the rotation.

REFERENCES

- [1] Bandici, G.E., (1997): Contribuții la stabilirea influenței premergătoare și a fertilizării asupra dinamicii acumulării biomasei, la grâul de toamnă, cultivat pe soluri cu exces temporar de umiditate, în centrul Câmpiei de Vest a României. Doctoral thesis. University of Agriculture Sciences and Veterinary Medicine Cluj-Napoca, Romania [in Romanian].
- [2] Bandici, G.E., Guș, P., (2001): Dinamica acumulării de biomasă la grâul de toamnă. University of Oradea Press. 107 pp.
- [3] Chiriță, V., (1983): Influența principalelor măsuri agrotehnice asupra dinamicii substanțelor organice ale solului. Probleme de agrotehnie teoretică și aplicată nr. 3, vol. V, pp. 29-32.
- [4] Hera, C., Chiriță, V., (1986): Influența aerației solului asupra dinamicii substanțelor organice. Cereale și plante tehnice nr. 6, pp. 127.
- [5] Popescu, A., (1980): Procesul de fixare biologică a N atmosferic și factorii care îl condiționează. Probleme de agrotehnie teoretică și aplicată, nr. 1, vol. II, pp. 54-57.
- [6] Staicu, I., (1969): Agrotehnica. Agrosilvică Printing House, Bucharest, 427 pp.
- [7] Zăhan, P., (1972): Influența unor măsuri agrotehnice asupra îmburuienării și stării fitosanitare, la grâul cultivat pe un sol acid din nord-vestul Transilvaniei". An. ICCPT Fundulea, vol. 38, seria B, pp. 67-70.